

Abbey Street Area - Proposed Changes to CPZ Times

ANNEX C

Church Street & Vicarage Street

Responder	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Church St & Vicarage St	1		Great idea, evenings are often a problem
2	Church St & Vicarage St	1		
3	Church St & Vicarage St	1		
4	Church St & Vicarage St	1		
5	Church St & Vicarage St		1	
				No problem parking on our road, if there no space then there is always space on Abbey St
6	Church St & Vicarage St		1	
				Always excess parking spaces in Church Road. It would be great is if you could consider it is F A + B parking. Otherwise it is a waste of perfectly good spaces.
7	Church St & Vicarage St	1		I don't object to proposal as long as they don't park in Vicarage St, we are having problems at the moment with people illegally parking here.
8	Church St & Vicarage St	1		
		<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>

Residents Consulted	<b>30</b>
Number of letters returned	<b>8</b>
Return Percentage	<b>27</b>
Support Percentage	<b>75</b>
Object Percentage	<b>25</b>

Abbey Street Area - Proposed Changes to CPZ Times

ANNEX C

Abbey Place

Responder	Address	Support	Object	Comments
	1 Abbey Place		1	Benefit to town of popular restaurant and pub outweigh parking issues of town centre location. A small zone directly by affected properties is more reasonable for the proposed restrictions.
	2 Abbey Place		1	30 minutes insufficient for visitors
	3 Abbey Place		1	Not needed will cause annoyance to our visitors. Two extra parking places as you come in on the left hand side.
	4 Abbey Place	1		Agree operating time changes but prefer the waiting limits for non-permit holders to stay as is.
	5 Abbey Place		1	Would make life difficult for visitors to elderly and disabled. Complaints from those who live near pub are not proportionate and do not represent views of majority.
	6 Abbey Place		1	30 minutes too short for visitors or tradesmen. Extended evening restrictions cost £1 a time. Not acceptable Pubs etc need the business, Faversham needs the income, too many cars not enough parking. Live with it.
Total			5	

Residents Consulted	17
Number of letters returned	6
Return Percentage	35
Support Percentage	17
Object Percentage	83

Abbey Street Area - Proposed Changes to CPZ Times

ANNEX C

Abbey Road

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Abbey Road		1	Risks displacing traffic to unregulated and private areas in and around Abbey Road
2	Abbey Road	1		
3	Abbey Road	1		Would like this to be extended into Abbey Road.
4	Abbey Road		1	Since last amendments we've had significant problems. Parking on Private section of Abbey Road, on footway on new Creek Road. Pub users park here. Further restrictions will have detrimental effect on parking for residents in Abbey Road.
		2	2	
		Total		

Residents Consulted	29
Number of letters returned	4
Return Percentage	14
Support Percentage	50
Object Percentage	50

Abbey Street Area - Proposed Changes to CPZ Times

ANNEX C

Abbey Street

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Abbey Street	1		Would suggest leaving Court St at 1hr for shoppers.
2	Abbey Street	1		
3	Abbey Street	1		
4	Abbey Street	1		
5	Abbey Street	1		
6	Abbey Street	1		Thank you for listening and acting on Residents concerns
7	Abbey Street	1		
8	Abbey Street	1		
9	Abbey Street	1		Variation to non permit holders times will only work if continually enforced
10	Abbey Street	1		The sooner the better.
11	Abbey Street	1		Totally support this proposal
12	Abbey Street	1		Abbey St is only street with FAA permits. I wish this to remain and not include Court St which is in FA area but to have the restrictions.
13	Abbey Street	1		
14	Abbey Street		1	Strongly object of changing the waiting limit time from 1hr to 30 minutes. Cannot agree with amending times for resident parking from 6.30-10.30pm unless we are guaranteed traffic wardens will check very regularly. Nothing was mentioned about this.
15	Abbey Street		1	Who will monitor restrictions. Need painted bays. Stop issuing more than 1 resident permit per household. No space for 1 terraced house to have 2 permits.
16	Abbey Street	1		Marked bays (1 car ) would help maximise the parking

17	Abbey Street	1		Serious problems with building works. When new properties complete, we will have more problems trying to park.
18	Abbey Street	1		
19	Abbey Street	1	1	
20	Abbey Street	1		
21	Abbey Street	1		This is a very good solution
22	Abbey Street	1		Excellent, what about extending to Sundays
23	Abbey Street	1		
24	Abbey Street	1		
25	Abbey Street	1		
26	Abbey Street	1		
27	Abbey Street	1		
28	Abbey Street	1	1	
29	Abbey Street	1		
30	Abbey Street	1		
31	Abbey Street	1		Thank you for listening to our comments More action needed on double yellow line parking
32	Abbey Street	1		
33	Abbey Street	1		
34	Abbey Street	1	1	
35	Abbey Street	1		Support whole heartedly
36	Abbey Street	1		
37	Abbey Street	1		
38	Abbey Street	1		
39	Abbey Street	1		Why is no Resident Only sign displayed Resident only seems to be the ideal. May be necessary to monitor all resident permits as some may abuse the system
40	Abbey Street	1		Feel parking should be residents only 8.30-10.30 Mon-Sat, not allowing 30 minutes non-resident parking. Also feel changes proposed will be to the good.
41	Abbey Street	1		How do you propose to police new scheme? Presume Abbey Lofts have sufficient residents parking and will not be issued with FAA parking permits
42	Abbey Street	1		

43	Abbey Street	1	Speed humps required in Abbey St, used as drag strip most evenings.
44	Abbey Street	1	My part of the road is unaffected by pub and restaurants. Why will I be charged to have guests in the evening. Is the council going to issue with blank permit so we are not charged for this change.
45	Abbey Street	1	There are two proposals submitted yet one can only vote in favour or against on both of them collectively. We should be able to vote on either independently.
46	Abbey Street	1	If approved I trust the enforcing wardens will be utilised during the evening as a deterrent
47	Abbey Street	1	1 hour OK, 30 minutes too short for visitors, extended hours ok
48	Abbey Street	1	Waiting limit 30 minutes, any visitors only able to stay short time, probably not enough time for cup of tea.
49	Abbey Street	1	Who is going to police the scheme until 10.30pm
50	Abbey Street	1	Believe amendments do not go for enough. Permits should be restricted to 1 per household. Remaining permit depending on space left to be issued to first come basis.
51	Abbey Street	1	Cost of permit to reflect size/power of car. Houses with garages should not be able to apply for permit.
52	Abbey Street	1	Building site causing problems. Parking bays either side of the Maitings cause extreme sight line problems

Abbey Street Area - Proposed Changes to CPZ Times

ANNEX C

Abbey Street

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
	53 Abbey Street		1	Support first change 8.30am -10.30pm. Do not support waiting time to 30 minutes, its insufficient for visitors and business callers. Object to new limits imposed on Court St. that short stretch of free parking is of immense value to town centre. Town needs more free parking not less, Central Car Park is too expensive and should be free on Sundays.
				Outraged by proposals. Why should I pay more for visitors. Will now have to pay £360 to allow my daughter to park when she comes home from work after 6pm. Why should we have to pay for clientel of businesses, why can it not be enforced as residential parking only. This has come about since permission for restaurant to open without parking available. Where is extra revenue being spent. A lot of the problems are due to bad parking, bays would be better . Why are you opening Saxon Rd to 2 hr parking nobody will use the car park. Why are there variations to parking times throughout the whole of Faversham. Residents should be given free parking permits and stop penalizing us for having children with cars. This still does not guarantee a parking space, why not issue us with parking permits and parking meters for non residents, this would increase revenue.
	54 Abbey Street		1	
	Total	44	10	

Abbey Street Area - Proposed Changes to CPZ Times

ANNEX C

Abbey Street

Residents Consulted	100
Number of letters returned	54
Return Percentage	54
Support Percentage	81
Object Percentage	19



Abbey Street Area - Proposed Changes to CPZ Times

ANNEX C

Court Street

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
	1 Court Street		1	Do not have a car, I can't have a yearly permit so if I get visitors for day or evening I have to have daily permit.
	2 Court Street	1		
	3 Court Street	1		Very happy with proposed parking restrictions
	4 Court Street	1		Thank you, helpful change. Support with reservation that it needs to go further and include Sundays, otherwise it will be impossible to return and park on a Sunday.
Total		3	1	

Residents Consulted	9
Number of letters returned	4
Return Percentage	44
Support Percentage	75
Object Percentage	25

Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme

ANNEX C

Briton Road

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
	1 Briton Road		1	We buy £10 books for family and friends to park all day if needed in Briton Road, 2 hrs would often not be long enough
	2 Briton Road	1		Agree, it will give tradesmen chance to carry out their work without receiving parking ticket
	3 Briton Road	1		
	4 Briton Road		1	
	5 Briton Road	1		
	6 Briton Road		1	Better to ban parking between 8-9.30. Need to offer parking for more than 2 hours sometimes for family visitors with small children.
	7 Briton Road	1		
	8 Briton Road	1		
	9 Briton Road	1		I don't drive and my wife but will have to give the car up. We have a garage and parking space for two cars.
	10 Briton Road		1	Like to see daily visitor parking permits issued for guests and tradesmen
	11 Briton Road	1		Support as long as visitors and tradesmen are allowed to park longer than 2 hrs otherwise the proposal will be withdrawn
	12 Briton Road	1		What about tradesmen who need to park all day
	13 Briton Road		1	2hrs to long and open to abuse 1 hr is long enough for most purposes
	14 Briton Road	1		
	total	9	5	

**Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme**

**ANNEX C**

**Briton Road**

Residents Consulted	41
Number of letters returned	14
Return Percentage	34
Support Percentage	64
Object Percentage	36

Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme

ANNEX C

Dorset Place

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Dorset Place	1		Support removal of vouchers. Been abused by some residents. Allowing 2 hr parking will make parking difficult for residents. With 2 nearby public car parks it should be 1 hr.
2	Dorset Place		1	How would this proposal help permit holders to park in working hours. We don't all have jobs. It's hard enough as it is.
3	Dorset Place	1		What happens to the vouchers already purchased but not used.
4	Dorset Place	1		Would ease parking is Resident Permit Holders were allowed to park in Queens Hall car park between 8am -6pm particularly at weekends.
5	Dorset Place		1	
6	Dorset Place		1	Not enough spaces for residents. Not enough Traffic Wardens to police the scheme
7	Dorset Place		1	Parking already limited why not consider Residents only 24/7 and pay and display car parking for non -residents
		total	3	4

Residents Consulted	20
Number of letters returned	7
Return Percentage	35
Support Percentage	43
Object Percentage	57

**Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme**

**ANNEX C**

**Norman Road**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Norman Road	1		
2	Norman Road		1	At present I can park. The proposal means I may not be able to. I pay so should all.
3	Norman Road		1	Why not introduce pay and display parking meters.
4	Norman Road	1		Vouchers refunded?
5	Norman Road	1		
6	Norman Road	1		So long as visitors day permits retained. As long as level of charges for car park is adjusted, so that there is not a rush to take advantage of free parking.
7	Norman Road			Concerned residents will have less space to park if net is wider. For commuters 2hr restriction would help
8	Norman Road		1	Will greatly increase parking by shoppers. Retention of visitor permits essential
		4	3	
		total		

Residents Consulted	36
Number of letters returned	7
Return Percentage	19
Support Percentage	57
Object Percentage	43

Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme

ANNEX C

Saxon Road

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Saxon Road		1	If the proposal does away with £1 daily parking permit I object strongly as visitors and workmen will park outside my house for more than 2 hrs. If it refers to 40p hourly parking permits I have no objections.
2	Saxon Road		1	
3	Saxon Road	1		
4	Saxon Road		1	Why should we pay to park? If we have to pay it should be half.
5	Saxon Road		1	Do not want my visitors who stay for the weekend to continuously move their cars
6	Saxon Road	1		Support if we have a refund on our vouchers.
7	Saxon Road	1		
8	Saxon Road		1	Residents should be able to have vouchers for family and friends to stay longer than 2 hrs.
9	Saxon Road		1	Understand need for changes but this will allow patients from the Health Centre and Cottage Hospital to monopolise the parking spaces. I often need relatives to stay here when I am ill to care for me, now they won't be able to do so. May we keep the all day visitor vouchers purchased by residents only.
10	Saxon Road		1	Could cause problems to local residents parking during the day.
11	Saxon Road	1		
12	Saxon Road		1	Often have visitors to stay for a few days
13	Saxon Road		1	

**Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme**

**ANNEX C**

**Saxon Road**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
14	Saxon Road		1	Residents provide vouchers for visitors, free parking to everyone will make it harder for permit holders to park near their homes
15	Saxon Road	1		How will effect visitors staying overnight or longer
16	Saxon Road		1	How will guest park as public car park is limited within Faversham
17	Saxon Road		1	Strongly object. Provision of vouchers essential. Residents pay for whole package, you are attempting to ringfence and disperse with the non-profitable portion. Without vouchers scheme is inflexible and of little benefit to residents. Are we expected to ask visitors to leave after 2 hrs
total			5	12

Residents Consulted	39
Number of letters returned	17
Return Percentage	44
Support Percentage	29
Object Percentage	71

Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme

ANNEX C

Roman Road

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Roman Road		1	Day permits for visitors and workmen is essential
2	Roman Road		1	Two car parks close by, what cost to check the drivers for 2hours as residents have to pay. As a resident but not a car owner, I'm very annoyed that any visitors, helpers or trades people have had to buy their own ticket to park outside, so I welcome the change. I would still like to have been allowed to buy a permit too.
3	Roman Road	1		Totally impractical for visitors who come to stay for weekend or a few days, especially with young children, also disabled visitors.
5	Roman Road		1	If current scheme was generating money SBC wouldn't want to change things. If no permits available friends and family can not visit for more than two hours. Can we have a system for residents only to park with a ticket allowing one guest per registered car that would last a year instead of purchasing books. Your suggestion would make things intolerable. Union St, Victoria Place, Dorset Place park here.
6	Roman Road	1		
7	Roman Road		1	Unable to park outside suggest higher prices for non-residents
8	Roman Road	1		



**Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme**

**Roman Road**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
9	Roman Road	1		No free parking. Residents only, stop issue of permits to non residents
10	Roman Road		1	If scheme has to change then Max of 1 hour
total		4	6	

Residents Consulted	27
Number of letters returned	10
Return Percentage	37
Support Percentage	40
Object Percentage	60

Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme

ANNEX C

Stone Street

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Stone Street		1	Proposal morally wrong. Residents with cars need Residents permit (£40) but non-residents take our spaces for free. There is a large car park beside the swimming pool. What happens to the money generated from the Residents Permits.
2	Stone Street	1		
3	Stone Street	1		
4	Stone Street	1		
5	Stone Street		1	Why give it free. Use the 2 car parks close by. Traffic wardens would be required all day, surely at extra expense.
6	Stone Street	1		
7	Stone Street	1		
8	Stone Street		1	Unfair to allow visitors to park free when residents pay all the time. New system would in fact increase pressure on available parking space to detriment of annual permit holders.
9	Stone Street		1	Far too many cars now, it would be much worse with vouchers.
10	Stone Street		1	Problem of residents parking will only increase with removal of the voucher scheme. I pay to be able to park in residents parking and are often unable to do so.
11	Stone Street		1	People park here rather than short term car park. I sometimes have to find another road to park in, and it will only get worse. I pay extra permit fee as a resident and do not find this fair.

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
	12 Stone Street		1	Withdrawal of voucher scheme will make Stone St like a Sunday where cars park here instead of car park. Residents will not stand a chance during the day and weekends. No traffic wardens after 4pm, so what will it be like after the change. How can disabled drivers park their cars for days on end, even when they are not parked in a disabled bay or having a parking permit.
	13 Stone Street		1	How can you propose a change when clearly most people find existing parking works well. Keep system or introduce resident only parking with vouchers. Totally object to removal of voucher scheme and introduction of free parking in Stone Street. It will be impossible to park and will be penalising residents. Why is a wider voucher scheme in force due to the close proximity of a car park and additional cost for vouchers in various outlets in Faversham when we easily pick them up from the District offices.
	14 Stone Street		1	Strongly object. We will have no space to park during the day ourselves. People will park here free instead to using car park - you lose money. No space for our visitors or workmen to park near our property.
	15 Stone Street	1		
	16 Stone Street	1		
	17 Stone Street		1	Restrictive for visitors. Is a reduction charge being offered.

**Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme**

**ANNEX C**

**Stone Street**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
18	Stone Street		1	Object, it is already difficult for residents permit holders to park here, allowing others to park will exacerbate the problem.
19	Stone Street		1	Majority said existing scheme works well so why change it. If allowed to park for 2 hrs this will make parking for residents near on impossible. Why not scrap the parking voucher and only allow parking for permit holders and all day visitors only.
20	Stone Street		1	Why pay to park in car park when you park for 2hrs free in Stone Street. Lose of income for SBC. Consider Ticket machines works well in Canterbury
21	Stone Street	1		Would be nice not to have cars parked in front of property for days on end.
22	Stone Street	1		
23	Stone Street		1	If anyone can park for 2 hours residents will not be able to park because of close proximity to town. If roads were permit holders only, visitors all day vouchers could still be used as elsewhere for family etc.
24	Stone Street	1		
25	Stone Street		1	Parking review does not support this proposal. I have visitors who need to park nearby, I use vouchers for all of them. Please do not remove this scheme. Why not charge more for the vouchers to cover the cost of the scheme.

Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme

ANNEX C

Stone Street

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
26	Stone Street		1	Parking for residents here is already extremely tight. Residents would be unable to park near our homes if parking were open to non-residents without the effort of getting a permit involved.
27	Stone Street	1		
28	Stone Street		1	Concerned about day visitors and more people parking within the zone will increase, putting pressure on spaces for residents.
29	Stone Street		1	We don't like it but see that financially you don't have a choice. Seems unfair that residents are going to have even less space as 2 hour free parking will be attractive so near the shops. How will tradesmen etc manage if they are working long term, we normally buy 24 hour vouchers
30	Stone Street		1	2hr free parking so close to town will seriously inconvenience residents. Car park revenue will reduce far more than would be saved by this proposal
31	Stone Street	1		
32	Stone Street		1	
33	Stone Street		1	Removal of permits will make parking harder. Will daytime permits still be available. If this goes ahead more information and consultation needed

**Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme**

**ANNEX C**

**Stone Street**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
34	Stone Street		1	Should not be free parking for shoppers and visitors when residents have to pay. Street will be full of shoppers. Allow free parking for residents and car parks free
35	Stone Street		1	Keep £10 booklet and scrap 40p 2 hr stay.
36	Stone Street	1		
37	Stone Street		1	Ridiculous. Stone St residents will be the only ones to pay to park here, while street becomes free alternative to car parks. Already difficult for residents to park this will make it harder. How will this be enforced. Fairer is spaces designated residents only. We are paying for privilege.
38	Stone Street		1	Strongly object. Would increase parking here, we pay £40, rendering it near impossible to park within reasonable distance from our own home. No one would pay to use car parks so park for free. Already difficult to park, have to use Roman Road. Stone St would be difficult to park at all times, forcing us to park even further away. Current scheme works well, with scheme scrapped visitors park for free, but only for 2 hours, not acceptable for visitors who stay the day.
total		13	25	

**Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme**

**ANNEX C**

**Stone Street**

Residents Consulted	74
Number of letters returned	38
Return Percentage	51
Support Percentage	34
Object Percentage	66

**Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme**

**ANNEX C**

**Union Street**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Union Street		1	Where will my visitors park if you do away with the voucher scheme.
2	Union Street		1	60 Residents 30 Spaces. No way can you police this area and stop parking over 2 hours. It doesn't happen now, how can you expect to do it with no vouchers and no time line in place.
3	Union Street	1		Good
4	Union Street		1	Would cause people with permits no where to park. They get free parking and I don't, residents should come first.
total			3	

Residents Consulted	26
Number of letters returned	4
Return Percentage	15
Support Percentage	25
Object Percentage	75



**Briton Road Area - Withdrawal of Voucher Scheme**

**ANNEX C**

**Victoria Place**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Victoria Place		1	Object to any resident of Faversham paying to park outside their home or any on street parking in the town.
2	Victoria Place	1		
total		1	1	

Residents Consulted	13
Number of letters returned	2
Return Percentage	15
Support Percentage	50
Object Percentage	50

Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ

ANNEX C

Belmont Road

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Belmont Road	1		
2	Belmont Road	1		
3	Belmont Road	1		
4	Belmont Road	1		
5	Belmont Road	1		
6	Belmont Road	1	1	
7	Belmont Road	1		
8	Belmont Road	1		
9	Belmont Road	1		Restrictions should be Mon-Fri. Is the cost one off or annual £40
10	Belmont Road	1		Agree with 2hr no return in 4 hrs, will stop commuters clogging road
11	Belmont Road		1	Strongly object. Problems caused by number of cars owned by residents not commuters
12	Belmont Road	1		Discount for single person?
		10	2	
		total		

Residents Consulted	32
Number of letters returned	12
Return Percentage	38
Support Percentage	83
Object Percentage	17

Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ

ANNEX C

Canute Road

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Canute Road		1	
2	Canute Road		1	
3	Canute Road	1		
4	Canute Road	1		
5	Canute Road	1		
6	Canute Road	1		
7	Canute Road		1	Object to paying £40 from pension to park outside my home
8	Canute Road		1	Strongly object to residents parking in the road, we have driveway so it isn't needed. Non residents parking was reason we recently bought this house.
9	Canute Road	1		Allowing long commercial vehicles take up 2 spaces. Parking Bays would give same amount of space
10	Canute Road		1	Object to paying £40
11	Canute Road		1	Will result in more parking in Canute Rd . Restrictions don't help they push cars elsewhere. If we aren't included then we will get the car
12	Canute Road		1	Generally not needed. If it happens in other roads you must include Canute Rd
13	Canute Road		1	What happens if I can't afford a pension? How do you account for extra £10. Mostly commuter parking

**Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ**

**ANNEX C**

**Canute Road**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
	14 Canute Road	1		Vehicles from Restricted roads already park in Canute when their road is full. Some neighbours park commercial vehicles of unacceptable length. Driveways often obstructed by overflow cars. Commuters park from 5.30 -21.00 greatly restricting available space.
	15 Canute Road	1		Have young baby and cannot always park near my property. If restrictions are extended not to include Canute it will be worse. Do not see why surrounding roads should park here. Consider seriously including Canute, I don't know how I will be able to unload my car with two babies ( expecting another) My partner has to park a long way from house when he comes home.
		7	8	
		total		

Residents Consulted	44
Number of letters returned	15
Return Percentage	34
Support Percentage	47
Object Percentage	53

Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ

ANNEX C

Edith Road

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
1	Edith Road		1	Waste of money, achieve very little.
2	Edith Road	1		
3	Edith Road		1	Object to pay to park
4	Edith Road		1	Does not guarantee space. More cars than spaces
5	Edith Road	1		
6	Edith Road	1		
7	Edith Road	1		
8	Edith Road	1		Would greatly alleviate very congested road. Parking difficult at all times of day
9	Edith Road	1		
10	Edith Road	1		
11	Edith Road	1		Absolutley agree. With The Mall and permit area and from train station so close, parking is frequently made impossible. Well Done
12	Edith Road	1		
13	Edith Road	1		
14	Edith Road	1		Long overdue
15	Edith Road	1		
16	Edith Road	1		What is the ability to buy visitor permits?
17	Edith Road		1	
18	Edith Road	1		
19	Edith Road	1		Concerns that visitors will have to pay. Why can't there be staged fees i.e some free, than say 50p each onwards
20	Edith Road		1	Scheme no good if it only allows 1 car at one time. Makes it impossible to park 2 cars during the day or weekends

Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ

ANNEX C

Edith Road

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
21	Edith Road	1		This parking scheme would make life easier for all the parents with young children on the street. Thank you.
22	Edith Road		1	Restrictions will do nothing to alleviate the problem which is evening/night time. Residents have too many cars. Removing yellow lines as in other resident areas would help.
23	Edith Road		1	Do not drive, can't see how us as residents would benefit. I'm not willing to pay for permit.
24	Edith Road		1	Do we have to pay for the disabled space provided for my husband. Both retired and do not use car very often. Do not go out at night. The main reason road is full due to residents
25	Edith Road		1	The problem little to do with commuters or other outside vehicles. Principally caused by the residents. Restrictions only control parking during 10.30-15.30, this period is usually clear despite being adjacent to controlled zones in The Mall and Athelstan. Permit does not guarantee parking space. Only 2 permit per household are allowed, most have 3 or more vehicles, does not allow visitors to park during restriction periods. Scheme will provide little benefit if any.
		total	9	
		16		

Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ

ANNEX C

Edith Road

Residents Consulted	33
Number of letters returned	25
Return Percentage	76
Support Percentage	64
Object Percentage	36

**Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ**

**ANNEX C**

**Kingsnorth Road**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
	1 Kingsnorth Road	1		
	2 Kingsnorth Road		1	We see no problem parking down Canute Rd. Bringing a parking scheme in this road will just move cars onto Ethelbert.
	3 Kingsnorth Road	1		
	4 Kingsnorth Road	1		Great idea. Will help the town end of the road greatly. I don't think residents from the A2 end will be so happy.
	5 Kingsnorth Road	1		Great idea, will stop commuter parking
	6 Kingsnorth Road	1		Useful to know date of decision
	7 Kingsnorth Road	1		
	8 Kingsnorth Road		1	Disagree with restrictions
	9 Kingsnorth Road		1	Get home at 7pm and cannot park in this road let alone outside my house.
	10 Kingsnorth Road		1	Strongly object. Why pay for parking when others park here anyway. Why not have a Residential sign only
	11 Kingsnorth Road		1	Parking only a problem at night/ after 5pm. Daytime plenty of space. Scheme would do little to solve the problem.
	12 Kingsnorth Road	1		Will there be enough room in Kingsnorth Rd to issue two permits per household. What about one permit and one visitors permit.
	13 Kingsnorth Road		1	Have disabled wife, need to park as close as possible to property for pick up and drop off. Do not agree with London Rd residents allowed to buy permits



**Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ**

**ANNEX C**

**Kingsnorth Road**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Comments
14	Kingsnorth Road		1	Should be free to pensioners, disabled hav free bays. It would stop commuters buit nothing is done about illegal parking on double yellow lines making corner dangerous. Road is used as rat run.
15	Kingsnorth Road		1	Don't think parking scheme will help the parking problems which mainly occur late in the evening or over night.
16	Kingsnorth Road	1		How about dedicated motorcycle bays. 2 in London Rd and several others further down this road.
17	Kingsnorth Road		1	Have full time Nanny who drives here and we park our two cars all day. Restrictions would make it impossible for her to drive to work. Would be forced to sell one car or park considerable distance away.
18	Kingsnorth Road	1		Alternative option provide a business permit to park 8.30-5.30
19	Kingsnorth Road	1		Thanks not before time
20	Kingsnorth Road		1	Do not have a car. Kingsnorth and Belmont are so narrow driving conditions will become even more dangerous.
21	Kingsnorth Road		1	
22	Kingsnorth Road	1		Good scheme Thank you
23	Kingsnorth Road		1	
24	Kingsnorth Road		1	Why pay for parking that is already free
25	Kingsnorth Road	1		Please Please
26	Kingsnorth Road		1	

Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ

ANNEX C

Kingsnorth Road

27	Kingsnorth Road	1		How will this affect me as I have a disabled bay.
28	Kingsnorth Road	1		
29	Kingsnorth Road	1		
30	Kingsnorth Road	1		No problems
31	Kingsnorth Road	1		Proposals won't solve anything
32	Kingsnorth Road	1		
33	Kingsnorth Road	1		91 years old. This scheme would affect my family visiting
34	Kingsnorth Road	1		Still an issue with evening parking and number of residents cars. Sometimes have to park on double yellow lines/different roads, even after commuters leave the area. Is the fee annual?
35	Kingsnorth Road	1		problem will remain, too many cars at night.
36	Kingsnorth Road	1		pay road tax, should pay where they like
37	Kingsnorth Road	1		Yes would very much like this, fed up with not being able to park because of commuters
38	Kingsnorth Road	1		Would make an enormous difference to our quality of life
39	Kingsnorth Road	1		Come home at 3am and can never park. Not commuters it's residents. Scheme will not work unless you issue same number of permits and spaces.
40	Kingsnorth Road	1		scheme would not make a difference. Plenty of spaces daytime. Lack of spaces when residents come home. How will this help. Pay £40 for no benefit.

Kingsnorth Road Area - Proposed Extension to Existing CPZ

ANNEX C

Kingsnorth Road

41	Kingsnorth Road		1	<p>How/where would overnight guests park. Why are London Rd not allowed to make a drive on front gardens are offered permits for here. Disabled bay rarely used, original owner died. Fear losing our space when we have to use car. How do we deliver shopping from 2 roads away, especially OAP with restricted mobility. Happy with situation now. See no reason to change it. Difficult for my visitors. Space taken by commuters/shoppers. Does permit only allow 1 car per household to park, also commercial vehicles able to park by a resident.</p>
42	Kingsnorth Road	1		<p>Thank you for extension. Parking is hell. Fully support the initiative and feel £80 for 2 cars is worth the money. Look forward to scheme being imposed.</p>
43	Kingsnorth Road	1		<p>How will it work with people with garages who park in the road in front of garages as private space. Resident of Edith/The Mall extended the line in front of their garage to park oversize van. 54 Kingsnorth has disable sapce for non-disabled person. Make road restricted and we will all be happier.</p>
44	Kingsnorth Road	1		<p>Permit does not guarantee space outside or near home let alone in the same street. Since original survey 2 motorcycles have occupied valuable parking spaces.</p>
45	Kingsnorth Road		1	

